

SOURCE OF HINDU LW –ANCIENT- SHRUTI 4 VEDS 9 RIGVEDA, YAHURVEDA, SAMAVEDA, ATHARVEDA,(SAMHITAS, BRAHMANAS, ARANYAKAS, UPANISHADS),-SMIRITI-DIGEST AND COMMENTARIES- (MITAKSHARA- DAYABHAGA)CUSTOMS –

SMRITI DHARMASUTRAS DHARMASHASTRAS MANUSMRITI YAJNAVALKYA SMRITI NARADA SMRITI

THREE PARTS OF SMRITI ACHARA VYAVAHARA PRAYASCHIT

MODERN-JUDICIAL DECISION (PRECEDENTS)-LEGISLATION-HINDU MARRIAGEA--HINDU SUCCESSIONA--HINDU MINORITY AND GUARDIANSHIP--HINDU ADOPTIONS AND MAINTENANCE-- JUSTICE EQUAL AND GOOD CONCERN—

CUSTOM CASE LUXMI V BHAGWANT BUVA 2013--- MUNNA LAL V RAJ KUMAR 1972----

BIGAMY CASE PRIYA BALA GHOSH (APPELLANT) VS. SURESH CHANDRA GHOSH (RESPONDENT). BHURAO SHANKAR LOKHANDE V. STATE OF MAHARASHTRA--KANWAL RAM V. HIMACHAL PRADESH ADMINISTRATION

AGE OF MARR. CASE MANINDER KAUR V MAJOR SING VOID AND PUNISHMENT

CASE OF MENTAL STABILITY ALKA SHARMA V. AVINASH CHANDRA 1991

CONJUGAL RIGHTS UNDER SECTION 9 T. SAREETHA V. T. VENKATA SUBBAIAH-- SAROJ RANI V. SUDARSHAN KUMAR CHADHA -- HARVINDER KAUR V. HARMANDER SINGH -- REENA KUMARI V. DINESH KUMAR MAHTO

JUDICIAL SEPARATION UNDER SECTION 10-- BIPINCHANDRA JAISINGBHAI SHAH V. PRABHAVATI

VOID MARR SECT 11 BIGAMY- PROHIBITED DECREES-- SAPINDA RELATIONSHIP—

VOIDABLE MARRIAGE SECT 12

DIVORCE SECT 13 NAVEEN KOHLI V. NEELU KOHLI--

LEGITIMACY OF CHILDREN SECT 16—FROM VOID MARR 11-VOIDABLE 12 --BOTH ARE LEGITIMATE CHILD—CASE REVANASIDDAPPA V. MALLIKARJUN

ADOPTIONS AND MAINTENANCE CAPACITY FOR MALE HINDU SEC7—FEMALE HINDU SECT 8-WHO CAN GIVE SEC9- WHO MAY ADOP-SEC 10—OTHER CONDITION SEC 11—EFFECT SECT 12—CASE LAXMI SINGH V. STATE OF RAJASTHAN-- CHATURBHUI V. SITA BAI

HINDU MINORITY AND GUARDIANSHIP ACT, 1956 CASE GITHA HARIHARAN V. RESERVE BANK OF INDIA

HINDU SUCCESSION ACT VINEETA SHARMA V. RAKESH SHARMA

SCHOOL--MITAKSHARA VIGYANESHVAR – YAGVALKYA SMRITI- ALL INDIA(NOT ASSAM AND BANGAL) SON BY BIRTH PROPERTY RIGHT-SON DEMAND LAND WHEN FATHER ALIVE- DOCTRINE OF SURVIVORSHIP-

SCHOOL--DAYABHAGA- JEEMUTWAHAN- COMENTREES AND NIBHANDH—MAXIMUM BANGAL AND ASSAM—SON PROPERTY RIGHT AFTER FATHER DEATH—SON DON'T DEMAND PROPERTY- DOCTRINE OF INHERITANCE—

JUSTICE, EQUITY AND GOOD CONSCIENCE – CASE GURUNATH V. KANTA BAI -- KENCHAVA V. GIRIMALLAPPA

MENTAL STABILITY CASE ALKA SHARM V AVINASH CHANDRA 1991

VOIDABLE MARRIAGE SECT 12 MANINDER V MAJOR SINGH 1972

SDPENDA RELAITIONSHIP KAMAKSHI V MANI 1970 – NEETU GROVER V U O I

FATHER SIDE 5 STEP UPSIDE AND MOTHER SIDE 3 STEP UPSIDE VOID MARRIAGE

HINDU MARRIAGE ACT SECT 5 1955 – CASE PRIYA V SURESH 1971 --

HINDU MARRIAGE ACT 1955 CASE YAMMUNA BAI V ANAND RAO 1988 - SARLA MUDGAL V U O I -- LILY THOUMAS V U O I

HINDU MARRIAGE ACT, 1955 VAILID MARR SEC 5 -- CONJUGAL RIGHTS SECT 9-- JUDICIAL SEPARATION SEC 10 – DIVORCE SECT 13-- MAINTENANCE & ALIMONY SECT 24 , 25.

REGISTRATION OF HINDU MARRIAGE IS NOT MANDATORY. CASE SEEMA V ASHWANI KUMAR 2006 -- GULLIPULLI SOWRIA V. BANDARU PAVANI SPECIAL MARRIAGE ACT, 1954 -- V. D. RAHATKHAN V. T. PRASANTH

SPECIAL MARRIAGE ACT

TYPES OF MARRIAGE VALID--BRAHMA MARRIAGE – DAIVA –ARSHA—PRAJAPATYA-- UN VALID—GANDHARVA – ASURA – RAKSHASA – PAISACHA

ONUS MEANS A BURDEN OR RESPONSIBILITY.

RETROSPECTIVE APPLY ON BACK DATE ALSO

ADULTERY CASE JOSEPH SHINE V. UNION OF INDIA

NATURE OF ADOPTION HEM SINGH V. HARNAM SINGH

CAPACITY OF A MALE HINDU TO ADOPT SEC 7 CASE KRUSHNA CHANDRA SAHU V. PRADIPTA DAS -- SAWAN RAM V. KALAWANTI -- DHANI CHAND V. PARAS RAM

CAPACITY OF A FEMALE HINDU TO ADOPT CASE SRI KRISHORI LAL V. CHALTIBAI -- GURUDAS V. RASARANJAN

WHO IS GUARDIAN-- INHERITANCE UTTRADHIKAAR CASE GITHA HARIHARAN V. RESERVE BANK OF INDIA -- ROSY JACOB V. JACOB A CHAKRAMAKKAL - GAURAV NAGPAL V. SUMEDHA NAGPAL -- ABC V. STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

CASTE DISABILITIES REMOVAL ACT, 1850 HINDU WIDOWS' REMARRIAGE ACT, 1856 HINDU WILLS ACT, 1870 SPECIAL MARRIAGE ACT, 1872
