

PARLIAMENT ART 79 PRESI+LS+RS

SESSION FOR PARLIAMNT GAP 6 MONTH MIN 2 ART 85

POWER FOR ORDINANCE PRESIDENT ART 123 AND GOVERNER 213 VAILID FOR 6 WEEKS , D.C. WADHWA V. STATE OF BIHAR , R.C. COOPER V. UNION OF INDIA

ORDINENCE MAKING PRESIDENT 123 , GOVERNER 213 FOR 6 WEEKS CASE D.C.WADHWA V STATE OF BIHAR -- R K GARG V UNION OF INDIA 1981

MERCY APPEAL ART 72 AND 161 PARDON, COMMUTATION, REMISSION, RESPITE, REPRIEVE. DEATH, COURT MARSHAL, CASE KEHAR SINGH V U O I. (INDIRA GANDHI CASE) EPURU SUDHAKAR V GOVT OF A P. MARU RAM VS U.O.I.

POWER OF PARDON NOT ARBITRARY. CASE EPURU SUDHAKAR V GOVT OF A P 2006.

PRESENT RULE MAKING POWER ART 77 , EXECUTIVE POWER ART 53 , LEGISLATIVE POWER ART 79 ,

PRESIDENT NOMINATES 12 MEMBERS TO R S ART 80

MONEY BILL ART 110 , MONEY AND OTHER MATTER ART 117

DEADLOCK IN PARLIAMENT ART 108

VETO POWER OF PRESIDENT ART 200 AND 201, I FORBID. STATE OF T N VS GOVERN OF T N

ADVISORY JURISDICTION OF PRESIDENT ART 143 , IN RE BERUBARI U O I , IN RE SPECIAL COURTS BILL, AYODHYA REF CASE, IN RE BERUBARI UNION CASE , RE KERALA EDUCATION BILL, 1958 , RE SPECIAL COURTS BILL, 1978

PRESIDENT ART 52 , HE IS NOT REAL HEAD. ART 53 + COUNCIL OF MINISTER ART 74 , AFTER 44TH AMEND PRESID BOUND TO TAKE ADVISE. SHAMSHER SINGH V. STATE OF PUNJAB (1974)

PRIME MINISTER ART 75

SPEAKER OR DEPUTY SPEAKER REMOVE BY ART 94 (C)

PROTEM SPEAKER MEANS TEMPR SPEKER

CONSULTATION DISCUSSION AND CONCURRENCE AGREED WITH ONE VIEW

AMENDMENT 91, 15% MINISTOR ONLY

PARLIAMENTARY PRIVILEGES ARTICLE 105 AND ART 194 R S CASE TEJ KIRAN JAIN V. N. SANJIVA REDDY , RAJA RAM PAL V. SPEAKER 2007, LOK SABHA COURTS CANNOT QUESTION PROCEEDINGS ART 122 CIVIL 40 DASY BEFORE OR AFTER

AMENDMENT 104 SC ST ADD 10 YEAR IN LS AND R S.

QUALIFIC LS ART 84 AND DISQUALIFIC ART 102 JAYA BACHAN CASE

ART 99 OATH 3RD SHED

AMEND 99 NULL AND VOIDE NJAC GOVT WANT TO INTERF IN JUDGE APPOINTMENT-- NATIONAL JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS COMMISSION

DEFECTION LAW AMEND 52 10TH SHED 1985 STRONG AMEND 91 2003 KIHOTO HOLLOHAN V. ZACHILLHU (1992) RAVI S. NAIK V. UNION OF INDIA (1994) BY CONDUCT, RAJENDRA SINGH RANA V. SWAMI PRASAD MAURYA (2007) SPEKR NT ARBITRY, AYARAM GAYARAM

ANTI DEFE LAW SPKR L S AND CHAIR R S DECIDE ANTY DEFECTION LAW SPKR DESIG CHALLENGE JUDICIAL REVIEW JAGJIT SINGH V. STATE OF HARYANA

DOCTRINE OF HARMONIOUS CONSTRUCTION IF THERE IS A CONFLICT, COURTS WILL TRY TO RESOLVE IT. CIT V. HINDUSTAN BULK CARRIERS-- KESAVANANDA BHARATI V. STATE OF KERALA-- GAS COMPANY LTD V STATE OF WEST BENGAL 1962 – K M NANAWATI V S O BOMBAY

DOCTRINE OF TERRITORIAL NEXUS CASE STATE OF BOMBAY V. R.M.D. CHAMARBAUGWALA

DOCTRINE OF COLOURABLE LEGISLATION "WHAT CANNOT BE DONE DIRECTLY, CANNOT BE DONE INDIRECTLY." K.C. GAJAPATI NARAYAN DEO V. STATE OF ORISSA

DOCTRINE OF PITH AND SUBSTANCE (REAL PURPOSE OF LAW WILL ALLOW) IT IS USE WHEN CONFLICT OF LAW IN STATE AND CENTRAL-- STATE OF BOMBAY V. F.N. BALSARA-- PRAFULLA KUMAR MUKHERJEE V. BANK OF COMMERCE (GASE CO LTD)

DOCTRINE OF DIRECT EFFECT

UGC UNIVERSITY GRANT COMMISSION YES UNDER JUDICIAL REVIEW ANIL KUMAR NEOTIA V. UNION OF INDIA , PROF. YASHPAL V. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH , KALYANI MATHIVANAN V. K.V. JEYARAJ

EMERGENCY NATIONAL 352, PRESIDENT RULE 356, FINANCIAL EMERGENCY 360,

STATE EMERGENCY 355 (DUTY) AND 365 (WARNING) DECIDE STATE EMERGENCY ART 356 (PRES RULE START) ST WITH 2 MONTH + 6 MONTH UPTO 3 YEARS CASE S. R. BOMMAI V. UNION OF INDIA

SEPARATION OF POWER ART 79 ,

DISTRIBUTION OF LEGISLATIVE POWERS BETWEEN UNION AND STATES ART 246 SCHED 7

RESIDUARY JURISDICTION MEANS NOT MENTION IN ALL THREE LIST – CASE UNION OF INDIA V. H.S. DHILLON

ART 254 (1) AND (2) CENTRE WILL PRIVILEGE OVER STATE RULE

ART 246 -- POWER OF PARLIAMENT (1) CASE UNION OF INDIA V. H.S. DHILLON AND CONCURRENT POWERS (2) CASE STATE OF WEST BENGAL V. KESORAM INDUSTRIES LTD 100-61-51

TERRITORIAL DISTRIBUTION OF POWERS (ARTICLE 245)

ART 248 RESIDUARY POWER

ORIGINAL JURISDICTION SCOPE OF ARTICLE 131, STATE VS STATE, GOVT VS STATE, MORE STATES DISPUTE.

CURATIVE PETITION POWER OF SUP. COURT. ART 142, VISHAKA V S O RAJ. , UNION CARBIDE CORPO. V U O I, SUPREM COURT BAR ASSOC V U O I -- RUPA ASHOK HURRA V. ASHOK HURRA RAM JANAM BHUMI CASE

RETROSPECTIVE LAW EFFECT FROM BACK DATE RAO SHIV BAHADUR SINGH V. STATE OF VINDHYA PRADESH AND PROSPECTIVE LAS EFFECT IN FUTURE. RAO SHIV BAHADUR SINGH V. STATE OF VINDHYA PRADESH

HINDU SUCCESSION ACT 2005 DAUGHTER PROPERTY RIGHTS. CASE VINEETA SHARMA V. RAKESH SHARMA

NATIONAL EMERGENCY (ARTICLE 352)

PRESIDENT'S RULE (ARTICLE 356) S.R. BOMMAI V. UNION OF INDIA

FINANCIAL EMERGENCY (ARTICLE 360)

IMPEACHMENT OF S C JUDGE- JUSTICE V. RAMASWAMI CASE (1993) FIRST IMPEACHMENT PROCEEDING INITIATED

AMENDMENT OF THE CONSTITUTION ART 368 UNDER JUDICIAL REVIEW BASIC STRUCTURE DOCTRINE KESHVANAND BHARTI, SHANKARI PRASAD V. UNION OF INDIA, GOLAK NATH V. STATE OF PUNJAB

APPOINTMENT OF JUDGES IN SUPREME COURT AND HIGH COURTS ART 124 , FIRST JUDGES CASE (1981) S.P. GUPTA V. UNION OF INDIA, CONSULTATION DOES NOT MEAN CONCURRENCE , SECOND JUDGES CASE (1993) COLLEGIUM SYSTEM CJI + 2 SENIOR JUDGES , CASE: BAL MUKUND SAH V. STATE OF BIHAR (2014)

SUPREME COURT ADVOCATES-ON-RECORD ASSOCIATION V. UNION OF INDIA, THIRD JUDGES CASE (1998) CASE: IN RE PRESIDENTIAL REFERENCE, COLLEGIUM SYSTEM , CJI + 4 SENIOR JUDGES , 99TH EMENDMENT NULL AND VOID IN THIS CASE.

APPEAL UNDER 132 CONSTITU-133 CIVIL-134 CRIMINAL

SPECIAL LEAVE PITITION SLP 136, PRITAM SINGH V. STATE

RATIO DECIDENDI BINDING ON LOWER COURTS & OBITER DICTA NOT BINDING

POSH ACT PREVENTION OF SEXUAL HARASSMENT OF WOMEN AT WORKPLACE CASE VISHAKA V. STATE OF RAJASTHAN

PRESIDENT MAKE LAW FOR ART 240 DADAR NAGAR HAWELI, LAKSHYADEEP, ANDMAN NIKOBAR

6TH SCHEDULE AASAM, MEGHALYA, TRIPURA, MIZORAM ATMM

STARE DECISIS ART 141- RATIO DECIDENDI & OBITER DICTA – CASE VINEET NARAIN V. UNION OF INDIA

ARTICLE 137 – REVIEW JURISDICTION CASE LILY THOMAS V. UNION OF INDIA

ARTICLE 74(1) PRESIDENT TAKE ADVICE FROM COUNCIL OF MINISTOR AND PRESIDENT IS BOUND ART 53+74

PRIVILAGE OR PRESIDENT ART 361

EX POST FACTO LAW ART 20(1) NO PERSON CAN BE PUNISHED UNDER A LAW THAT DID NOT EXIST AT THE TIME OF THE ACT CASE- RATTAN LAL V. STATE OF PUNJAB

DOUBLE JEOPARDY – ARTICLE 20(2) RIGHT AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION – ARTICLE 20(3)

ART 235 HIGH COURT CONTROL THE LOWER COURT

REPUGNANCY ART 254 (1) (2) (CONCURRENT LIST) CASE ZAVER BHAI AMEDAS VS S O BOMBAY

RIGHT AGAINST SELF-INCRIMINATION – ARTICLE 20(3) CASE NANDINI SATPATHY V. P.L. DANI -- STATE OF BOMBAY V. KATHI KALU OGHAD