

PARLIAMENT AT 79 PRESI+LS+RS

PARLIAMENTARY PRIVILEGES ARTICLE 105 AND ART 194 R S CASE TEJ KIRAN JAIN V. N. SANJIVA REDDY , RAJA RAM PAL V. SPEAKER, LOK SABHA COURTS CANNOT QUESTION PROCEEDINGS ART 122 CIVIL 40 DASY BEFORE OR AFTER

AMENDMENT 104 SC ST ADD 10 YEAR

QUALIFIC LS ART 84 AND DISQUALIFIC ART 102 JAYA BACHAN CASE

ART 99 OATH 3RD SHED AND SHEDULE 99 NULL AND VOIDE

DEFECTION LAW AMEND 52 10TH SHED 1985 STRONG AMEND 91 2003 KIHOTO HOLLOHAN V. ZACHILLHU (1992) RAVI S. NAIK V. UNION OF INDIA (1994) BY CONDUCT, RAJENDRA SINGH RANA V. SWAMI PRASAD MAURYA (2007) SPEKR NT ARBITRY, AYARAM GAYARAM

SPKR L S AND CHAIR R S DECIDE ANTY DEFECTION LAW SPKR DESIG CHALLENGE JUDICIAL REVIEW JAGJIT SINGH V. STATE OF HARYANA

SESSION FOR PARLIAMNT GAP 6 MONTH MIN 2 ART 85

DOCTRINE OF HARMONIOUS CONSTRUCTION IF THERE IS A CONFLICT, COURTS WILL TRY TO RESOLVE IT

DOCTRINE OF DIRECT EFFECT

DOCTRINE OF TERRITORIAL NEXUS CASE STATE OF BOMBAY V. R.M.D. CHAMARBAUGWALA

सार एवं तत्व का सिद्धांत DOCTRINE OF PITH AND SUBSTANCE STATE OF BOMBAY V. F.N. BALSARA

DOCTRINE OF COLOURABLE LEGISLATION WHAT CANNOT BE DONE DIRECTLY, CANNOT BE DONE INDIRECTLY." K.C. GAJAPATI NARAYAN DEO V. STATE OF ORISSA

POWER FOR ORDINANCE PRESIDENT ART 123 AND GOVERNER 213 VAILID FOR 6 WEEKS , D.C. WADHWA V. STATE OF BIHAR , R.C. COOPER V. UNION OF INDIA

UGC UNIVERSITY GRANT COMMISSION YES UNDER JUDICIAL REVIEW ANIL KUMAR NEOTIA V. UNION OF INDIA , PROF. YASHPAL V. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH , KALYANI MATHIVANAN V. K.V. JEYARAJ

ORDINENCE MAKING PRESIDENT 123 , GOVERNER 213 FOR 6 WEEKS CASE D.C.WADHWA V STATE OF BIHAR

R K GARG V UNION OF INDIA 1981

MERCY APPEAL ART 72 AND 161 PARDON, COMMUTATION, REMISSION, RESPITE, REPRIEVE. DEATH, COURT MARSHAL, CASE KEHAR SINGH V U O I. (INDIRA GANDHI CASE) EPURU SUDHAKAR V GOVT OF A P. MARU RAM VS U.O.I.

POWER OF PARDON NOT ARBITRARY. CASE EPURU SUDHAKAR V GOVT OF A P 2006.

PRESENT RULE MAKING POWER ART 77 , EXECUTIVE POWER ART 53 , LEGISLATIVE POWER ART 79 ,

EMERGENCY NATIONAL 352, PRESIDENT RULE 356, FINANCIAL EMERGENCY 360,

SEPARATION OF POWER ART 79 ,

PRESIDENT NOMINATES 12 MEMBERS TO R S ART 80

MONEY BILL ART 110 , MONEY AND OTHER MATTER ART 117

DEADLOCK IN PARLIAMENT ART 108

VETO POWER OF PRESIDENT ART 200 AND 201, I FORBID. STATE OF T N VS GOVERN OF T N

ADVISORY JURISDICTION OF PRESIDENT ART 143 , IN RE BERUBARI U O I , IN RE SPECIAL COURTS BILL, AYODHYA REF CASE, IN RE BERUBARI UNION CASE , RE KERALA EDUCATION BILL, 1958 , RE SPECIAL COURTS BILL, 1978

SCOPE OF ARTICLE 131, STATE VS STATE, GOVT VS STATE, MORE STATES DISPUTE.

POWER OF SUP. COURT. ART 142, VISHAKA V S O RAJ. , UNION CARBIDE CORPO. V U O I, SUPREM COURT BAR ASSOC V U O I
RUPA ASHOK HURRA V. ASHOK HURRA

RETROSPECTIVE LAW EFFECT FROM BACK DATE RAO SHIV BAHADUR SINGH V. STATE OF VINDHYA PRADESH AND PROSPECTIVE LAW EFFECT IN FUTURE. RAO SHIV BAHADUR SINGH V. STATE OF VINDHYA PRADESH

HINDU SUCCESSION ACT 2005 DAUGHTER PROPERTY RIGHTS. CASE VINEETA SHARMA V. RAKESH SHARMA

PRIME MINISTER ART 75

DISTRIBUTION OF LEGISLATIVE POWERS BETWEEN UNION AND STATES ART 246 SCHED 7

TERRITORIAL DISTRIBUTION OF POWERS (ARTICLE 245)

NATIONAL EMERGENCY (ARTICLE 352)

PRESIDENT'S RULE (ARTICLE 356) S.R. BOMMAI V. UNION OF INDIA

FINANCIAL EMERGENCY (ARTICLE 360)

JUSTICE V. RAMASWAMI CASE (1993) FIRST IMPEACHMENT PROCEEDING INITIATED

AMENDMENT OF THE CONSTITUTION ART 368 UNDER JUDICIAL REVIEW BASIC STRUCTURE DOCTRINE KESHVANAND BHARTI, SHANKARI PRASAD V. UNION OF INDIA, GOLAK NATH V. STATE OF PUNJAB

APPOINTMENT OF JUDGES IN SUPREME COURT AND HIGH COURTS ART 124 , FIRST JUDGES CASE (1981) S.P. GUPTA V. UNION OF INDIA, CONSULTATION DOES NOT MEAN CONCURRENCE , SECOND JUDGES CASE (1993) COLLEGIUM SYSTEM CJI + 2 SENIOR JUDGES , CASE:

SUPREME COURT ADVOCATES-ON-RECORD ASSOCIATION V. UNION OF INDIA, THIRD JUDGES CASE (1998) CASE: IN RE PRESIDENTIAL REFERENCE, COLLEGIUM SYSTEM , CJI + 4 SENIOR JUDGES , 99TH EMENDMENT NULL AND VOID IN THIS CASE.

SPECIAL LEAVE PITITION SLP 136, PRITAM SINGH V. STATE

RATIO DECIDENDI BINDING ON LOWER COURTS & OBITER DICTA NOT BINDING

POSH ACT REDRESS SEXUAL HARASSMENT OF WOMEN CASE VISHAKA V. STATE OF RAJASTHAN

SPEAKER OR DEPUTY SPEAKER REMOVE BY ART 94 (C)

PRESIDENT ART 52 , HE IS NOT REAL HEAD. ART 53 + COUNCIL OF MINISTER ART 74 , AFTER 44TH AMEND PRESID BOUND TO TAKE ADVISE. SHAMSHER SINGH V. STATE OF PUNJAB (1974)

PROTEM SPEAKER MEANS TEMPR SPEKER

CONSULTATION DISCUSSION AND CONCURRENCE AGREED WITH ONE VIEW

AMENDMENT 91, 15% MINISTOR ONLY