

Period 1 time 09.30 am constitution

Test

## Q. What is Preamble? How is it significant? Is Preamble part of the Constitution?

### 1. Definition of the Preamble

The Preamble is an introductory statement of the Constitution that sets out its philosophy, objectives, and guiding principles. It reflects the **source of authority** (the people of India), the **nature of the state**, and the **ultimate aims** of the Constitution. It is rightly called the “identity card of the Constitution.”

---

### 2. Explanation of Words in the Preamble

The Preamble of the Indian Constitution reads:

**“We, the people of India, having solemnly resolved to constitute India into a Sovereign Socialist Secular Democratic Republic and to secure to all its citizens: Justice, Liberty, Equality, and to promote Fraternity...”**

- **Sovereign:** India is independent, not subject to any external authority.
  - **Socialist:** Commitment to social and economic justice, reducing inequalities.
  - **Secular:** No state religion; equal respect for all faiths.
  - **Democratic:** Government elected by the people, accountable to them.
  - **Republic:** Head of the state is elected, not hereditary.
  - **Justice (Social, Economic, Political):** Ensures fairness in all spheres of life.
  - **Liberty (Thought, Expression, Belief, Faith, Worship):** Freedom to individuals within legal limits.
  - **Equality:** Equal status and opportunity before the law.
  - **Fraternity:** Promotes brotherhood and unity, ensuring dignity of the individual and unity of the nation.
- 

### 3. Is Preamble part of the Constitution? – Case Laws

- **Re Berubari Union Case (1960):** The Supreme Court held that the Preamble is not an enforceable part of the Constitution but only a key to interpretation.
- **Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala (1973):** This landmark judgment overruled Berubari and held that the Preamble **is part of the Constitution**. It embodies the basic structure, which cannot be amended to alter its fundamental features.
- **S.R. Bommai v. Union of India (1994):** The Supreme Court held that the Preamble is the guiding spirit of the Constitution and a yardstick to judge constitutional validity of laws.

Thus, the Preamble is a **part of the Constitution** and has **legal significance** in constitutional interpretation, though it is **not justiciable** (i.e., it cannot be enforced in a court of law independently).

---

#### 4. Significance of the Preamble

1. **Philosophical Key:** It embodies the ideals of justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity.
  2. **Source of Authority:** Declares that power rests with “We, the people of India.”
  3. **Guiding Spirit:** Assists in interpretation of ambiguous provisions of the Constitution.
  4. **Basic Structure Doctrine:** Ensures that core features like secularism, democracy, and republic cannot be destroyed.
- 

#### Conclusion

The Preamble is not merely ornamental; it is the **soul of the Constitution**. While it does not confer enforceable rights, it provides the **guiding principles** and serves as the **touchstone** for judicial interpretation. The Supreme Court has clearly established that the Preamble is **a part of the Constitution** and represents its **basic structure** which cannot be altered.

---

#### Q. What is Preamble? How is it significant? Is Preamble part of the Constitution?

##### 1. Definition

The Preamble is the **introductory part of the Constitution**, stating its ideals, objectives, and guiding principles. It declares that the **sovereignty lies with the people of India** and sets out the aims of justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity. Dr. B.R. Ambedkar called it the “**horoscope of the Constitution**.”

---

##### 2. Explanation of Words in the Preamble

- **Sovereign:** India is fully independent.
- **Socialist:** Commitment to socio-economic justice.
- **Secular:** Equal respect for all religions.
- **Democratic:** Government by the people.
- **Republic:** Elected head of state, not hereditary.
- **Justice:** Social, economic, and political justice to all.
- **Liberty:** Freedom of thought, expression, faith, and worship.
- **Equality:** Equal status and opportunity for all.
- **Fraternity:** Promotes unity and dignity of the individual.

### 3. Is Preamble Part of the Constitution? – Case Laws

- **Berubari Union Case (1960)**: Preamble not part of the Constitution.
  - **Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala (1973)**: Overruled Berubari; held that Preamble is **part of the Constitution** and expresses its basic structure.
  - **S.R. Bommai v. Union of India (1994)**: Preamble is the **guiding spirit** in constitutional interpretation.
- 

### 4. Significance of the Preamble

1. Declares **source of authority**: “We, the people of India.”
  2. Provides **philosophy**: Justice, Liberty, Equality, Fraternity.
  3. Serves as a **guide for courts** in interpretation.
  4. Reflects the **basic structure** which cannot be destroyed.
- 

### Conclusion

The Preamble is both **philosophical and legal**. It is now firmly settled that the Preamble is **part of the Constitution** and embodies its **basic structure**. Though it is not directly enforceable in courts, it is the **soul and guiding light** of the Constitution.

---

**Q. Article 14 talks about equality. Are there any exceptions to this rule? Support your answer with case references.**

#### 1. Introduction

Article 14 of the Constitution of India provides:

**“The State shall not deny to any person equality before the law or the equal protection of the laws within the territory of India.”**

It embodies the general principle of equality and prohibits discrimination. However, it does **not mean absolute equality**; reasonable classifications and certain exceptions are allowed.

---

#### 2. Two Concepts in Article 14

1. **Equality before Law**: No one is above the law; all are equal before ordinary law (Rule of Law).
  2. **Equal Protection of Laws**: Equal treatment under equal circumstances; likes should be treated alike.
- 

#### 3. Exceptions to Article 14

### 1. Reasonable Classification

- The State can classify persons, objects, or transactions for the purpose of legislation, provided:
  - (i) The classification is based on **intelligible differentia**.
  - (ii) It has a **rational nexus** with the object of the law.
- **Case Law: *State of West Bengal v. Anwar Ali Sarkar (1952)*** – classification must not be arbitrary.
- **Case Law: *Ram Krishna Dalmia v. Justice Tendolkar (1958)*** – laid down tests of reasonable classification.

<b>State of West Bengal v. Anwar Ali Sarkar</b>	1952	यह मामला अनुच्छेद 14 (समानता के अधिकार) से जुड़ा था। अदालत ने कहा कि <b>वर्गीकरण (Classification) मनमाना नहीं होना चाहिए</b> , बल्कि समान परिस्थितियों वाले लोगों के साथ समान व्यवहार होना चाहिए।
<b>Ram Krishna Dalmia v. Justice Tendolkar</b>	1958	इस केस में सर्वोच्च न्यायालय ने " <b>वाजिब वर्गीकरण</b> " (Reasonable Classification) के <b>परीक्षण के नियम (Tests)</b> तय किए — अर्थात् वर्गीकरण तार्किक और उद्देश्यपूर्ण होना चाहिए, न कि पक्षपातपूर्ण या मनमाना।

### 3. Special Privileges and Protective Discrimination

- Reservation for socially and educationally backward classes, SCs, STs, women, and children.
- **Case Law: *Indra Sawhney v. Union of India (1992)*** – upheld 27% OBC reservation, subject to the “creamy layer” principle.

### 4. Legislative Privileges

- Members of Parliament and State Legislatures enjoy certain immunities (Articles 105 & 194).

### 5. Immunities to the President and Governors

- Under **Article 361**, President and Governors are not answerable to any court for acts done in exercise of their official powers.

### 6. Foreign Sovereigns and Ambassadors

- Enjoy diplomatic immunity under international law (codified in Indian law).

### 7. Special Laws for Certain Classes

- e.g., Preventive detention laws, service laws for armed forces, etc., are treated as valid exceptions.
- **Case Law: *A.K. Gopalan v. State of Madras (1950)*** – preventive detention upheld though subject to Article 21 later.

<b>A.K. Gopalan v. State of Madras</b>	1950	यह पहला महत्वपूर्ण मामला था जिसमें <b>अनुच्छेद 21 (जीवन और व्यक्तिगत स्वतंत्रता)</b> की व्याख्या की गई। सुप्रीम कोर्ट ने कहा कि – “ <b>कानून द्वारा स्थापित प्रक्रिया</b> ” (Procedure established by law) के अनुसार
--	------	---

अगर किसी को बंद किया गया है, तो वह **संवैधानिक रूप से वैध** है, भले ही वह अन्यायपूर्ण क्यों न लगे।  
→ इस निर्णय में न्यायालय ने **अनुच्छेद 19, 21, और 22** को एक-दूसरे से स्वतंत्र माना।

#### 4. Judicial Innovations under Article 14

- **E.P. Royappa v. State of Tamil Nadu (1974)**: Equality is antithesis of arbitrariness; arbitrariness violates Article 14.
- **Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India (1978)**: Article 14, 19, and 21 are interconnected; any law violating fairness is unconstitutional.

E.P. Royappa v. State of Tamil Nadu	1974	सुप्रीम कोर्ट ने कहा कि "समानता का अर्थ मनमानी का अभाव है" — अर्थात असमंजस या मनमानी (Arbitrariness) स्वयं अनुच्छेद 14 का उल्लंघन है। → इस केस ने समानता को स्थिर परिभाषा से हटाकर गतिशील (Dynamic) बना दिया।
Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India	1978	अदालत ने कहा कि अनुच्छेद 14, 19, और 21 एक-दूसरे से जुड़े हुए हैं। → कोई भी कानून यदि न्यायसंगत, उचित और तर्कसंगत प्रक्रिया का पालन नहीं करता तो वह संविधान के विपरीत (Unconstitutional) माना जाएगा। → इस केस ने "A.K. Gopalan" निर्णय को पलट दिया।
E.P. Royappa v. State of Tamil Nadu	1974	सुप्रीम कोर्ट ने कहा कि "समानता (Equality) और मनमानी (Arbitrariness) एक-दूसरे के विपरीत हैं"। जहाँ मनमानी है, वहाँ समानता नहीं हो सकती। इसलिए मनमाना कार्य अनुच्छेद 14 का उल्लंघन है। ☞ "Equality is the antithesis of arbitrariness."
Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India	1978	इस ऐतिहासिक केस में कोर्ट ने कहा कि अनुच्छेद 14, 19, और 21 एक-दूसरे से जुड़े हुए हैं। किसी व्यक्ति की स्वतंत्रता को छीनने वाला कानून तभी वैध होगा जब वह न्यायसंगत, उचित और तर्कसंगत (Fair, Just & Reasonable) हो। ☞ "Due process of law" को भारतीय संविधान में अपनाया गया।

#### 5. Conclusion

Article 14 does not guarantee absolute equality; it guarantees **equality among equals**. Reasonable classification and protective discrimination are permitted to achieve real and substantive equality. The judiciary has consistently held that **arbitrariness is the enemy of equality** and only just, fair, and reasonable classifications are valid exceptions.

**Q. Article 14 talks about equality. Are there any exceptions to this rule? Support your answer with case references.**

#### 1. Introduction

Article 14 of the Indian Constitution provides that “**the State shall not deny to any person equality before the law or the equal protection of the laws.**”

It ensures the **Rule of Law** and prohibits discrimination, but it does **not mean absolute equality**. Certain exceptions are permitted to achieve substantive justice.

---

## 2. Exceptions to Article 14

### 1. Reasonable Classification

- The State can classify persons or objects if:
  - (i) It is based on **intelligible differentia**, and
  - (ii) It has a **rational nexus** with the law's objective.
- *Ram Krishna Dalmia v. Justice Tendolkar (1958)*: Laid down tests of reasonable classification.
- *State of West Bengal v. Anwar Ali Sarkar (1952)*: Classification must not be arbitrary.

### 2. Protective Discrimination (Reservations)

- SCs, STs, OBCs, women, and children can be given special provisions.
- *Indra Sawhney v. Union of India (1992)*: Upheld OBC reservations with “**creamy layer**” principle.

### 3. Constitutional Immunities

- **President & Governors** enjoy immunity under Article 361.
- **MPs and MLAs** enjoy privileges under Articles 105 & 194.
- **Foreign ambassadors and sovereigns** enjoy diplomatic immunity.

### 4. Special Laws for Certain Classes

- Preventive detention, service laws for armed forces, etc.
  - *A.K. Gopalan v. State of Madras (1950)*: Preventive detention law upheld.
- 

## 3. Judicial Expansion

- *E.P. Royappa v. State of Tamil Nadu (1974)*: Arbitrariness violates Article 14.
  - *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India (1978)*: Article 14, 19, and 21 are interconnected; fairness is essential.
- 

## 4. Conclusion

Article 14 guarantees **equality among equals, not absolute equality**.

Exceptions like reasonable classification, reservations, and immunities are allowed to promote justice and effective governance. Courts have made it clear that **arbitrariness is the enemy of equality**, and only fair, just, and reasonable laws fall within its scope.

---

---

\*\*\*\*\*    \*\*\*\*\*    \*\*\*\*\*    \*\*\*\*\*    \*\*\*    \*\*\*\*\*    \*\*\*\*\*    \*\*\*\*\*    \*\*\*\*\*